UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,905	03/26/2004	Haowen Bu	TI-36637	9390	
23494 TEVAS INISTI	7590 09/21/2007	EXAMINER			
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			STARK, JARRETT J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2823		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVER I MODE	
			09/21/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@ti.com uspto@dlemail.itg.ti.com

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/810,905	BU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jarrett J. Stark	2823	

Dufous the Ellins of an Asses of Dulof							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jarrett J. Stark	2823					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS	регов в село по						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); atter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ winded below or appended.	II be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>11-18</u> .	•						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	FERNA	NDO L. TOLEDO PATENT EXAMINEI	7 R				

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly proposed amendment of forming the layer "in contact" will further limit the claims thus requiring further search and/or consideration..